

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLAY COUNTY, ARKANSAS  
ON CHANGE OF VENUE FROM  
CIRCUIT COURT OF CRITTENDEN COUNTY, ARKANSAS

STATE OF ARKANSAS

PLAINTIFF/RESPONDE  
NT,

vs.

CR-93-47 (Clay County number)  
CR 93-516 through 518 (Crittenden County  
numbers)

JESSIE LLOYD MISSKELLEY, JR.,

DEFENDANT/PETITION  
ER

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS OR OTHER RELIEF PURSUANT  
TO ARKANSAS CODE ANNOTATED 16-112-201 *ET SEQ.* AND MOTION FOR  
NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO 16-112-208(e)(1)

JEFF ROSENZWEIG  
ABN # 77115  
Third and Spring Street, 300 Spring  
Building, Suite 310  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201  
Telephone: 501-372-5247  
Fax: 501-376-0770  
[jrosenzweig@worldnet.att.net](mailto:jrosenzweig@worldnet.att.net)

MICHAEL N. BURT  
CBN # 83377  
Law Office of Michael Burt  
600 Townsend Street, Ste 329E

San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415-522-1508  
Fax: 415-522-1506  
[michael.burt@prodigy.net](mailto:michael.burt@prodigy.net)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.....	I
INTRODUCTION AND PARTIES .....	2
II. PETITIONER’S BASES FOR RELIEF ARE THE CONSTITUTION AND STATUTES OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, INCLUDING A.C.A. §16-112-201 AND 16-112-208, AS WELL AS RULINGS FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ESTABLISHING THE SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLAIMS OF INNOCENCE, AND FOR POST-CONVICTION LITIGATION OF CLAIMS THAT THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE THAT THE PERSON CONVICTED COMMITTED THE CRIMES .....	6
III. INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE OF EXHIBITS .....	18
IV. THE FACTS OF THE CASE AS FOUND BY THE SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS ARE PART OF THE BASIS FOR RELIEF AS THEY DESCRIBE THE EVIDENCE THAT PETITIONER MUST ADDRESS .....	19
V. NEWLY ACQUIRED SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE, INCLUDING DNA TEST RESULTS, AND ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION DEMONSTRATE EITHER THAT PETITIONER WAS INNOCENT OF THE CRIME OR THAT A NEW TRIAL WOULD RESULT IN ACQUITTAL. ....	23
A. A description of the State’s scientific evidence at trial sets the stage for evaluating scientific evidence acquired by the defense in post-conviction litigation .....	38
B. Reliable scientific evidence including DNA test results not available at trial establishes that the cause of death	

	and mechanism of injury were inconsistent with Petitioner’s guilt, and establish Petitioner’s innocence, or demonstrates that no reasonable juror would have found Petitioner guilty of the underlying offense.....	47
C.	There is evidence concerning animal activity, including the presence of dogs, other mammals, amphibians and reptiles in Robin Hood Woods, and the area around West Memphis which is relevant to the assessment of the scientific evidence .....	63
D.	The significance of the evidence concerning animal predation.....	65
E.	The false and misleading DNA and serology evidence at both trials .....	73
VI.	<b>JESSIE MISSKELLEY’S STATEMENTS TO POLICE CAN NOW BE DEMONSTRATED TO BE UNRELIABLE AND UNTRUTHFUL IN VIEW OF THE CURRENT STATE OF THE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE, GIVEN POST-CONVICTION EVIDENCE TESTING AND REVIEW.....</b>	<b>89</b>
A.	The Arkansas Supreme Court Opinion .....	89
B.	Christopher Byers, Whose Genital Area Was Described as "Mutilated" Suffered a ‘Degloving’ Injury Inconsistent with the Use of a Knife, and Consistent with the Kind of Degloving Injury Caused by Animal Predation .....	91
C.	There Are No Knife Wounds to Steven Branch's Face .....	97
D.	There Is No Evidence on Any of the Three Boys of Anal or Oral Sex .....	100
E.	The Mechanism for the Blunt Force Injuries Cannot be Determined .....	106
F.	The Evidence Regarding Causes of Death Is Not Definitive . . . .	108

G.	The Fiber Evidence Does Not Corroborate the Confession . . . .	109
H.	The Evidence that the Boys Were Tied Is Not Corroborative . . .	111
I.	Other Extrinsic Evidence Undermining the Accuracy and Reliability of the Confessions . . . . .	113

VII. THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED AT THE ECHOLS/BALDWIN TRIAL DOES NOT UNDERMINE PETITIONER’S SHOWING OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE . . . . .  
. . . . .120

A.	Post-conviction scientific evidence demonstrates Michael Carson’s lack of credibility in his testimony against Baldwin .....	120
B.	Evidence of Baldwin’s school attendance and attitude while in school supports the scientific evidence of Baldwin’s innocence .....	139
C.	Jurors were never informed of the distance from Baldwin’s home in Lakeshore to the Robin Hood Woods, or from there to Marion and the high school there, and that evidence raises significant questions about Baldwin’s involvement in the killings.....	143
D.	There was no evidence that Baldwin ever was in Robin Hood Woods	147
E.	Baldwin played no role in the killings for which he was convicted, and there was evidence about his whereabouts on May 5 and 6, 1993 that the jury never heard .....	148
F.	The ball game statements were never put in context .....	156
G.	Domini Teer, co-defendant Damien Echols’ girlfriend at the time had told police about Petitioner’s activities on May 5 and 6, 1993, and she was available to be called as a witness for	

	Echols and Baldwin .....	158
VIII.	PART OF THE PROSECUTION’S THEORY, AND EVIDENCE, IN BOTH CASES WAS THAT THIS CRIME HAD “TRAPPINGS OF THE OCCULT”, BASED ON WHAT IS NOW PROVEN TO BE UNRELIABLE, MISLEADING, AND IRRELEVANT TESTIMONY .	159
	A. The police, the probation officers, and Vicki Hutcheson .....	162
	B. The testimony of Anthony and Narlene Hollingsworth.....	165
	C. The FBI, and former FBI Agent John Douglas.....	166
IX.	AS ALLEGED ABOVE, PETITIONER HAS MOVED FOR ADDITION TESTING, INCLUDING DNA, FIBER, FINGERPRINT, AND ANIMAL HAIR TESTING, THAT MAY NOT BE FINISHED BY THE TIME THE COURT PROCEEDS WITH THE HEARING ON THIS MATTER, WHICH DEPRIVES PETITIONER OF HIS RIGHTS UNDER §16-112-201 .....	168
X	EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THIS PETITION/MOTION AND PETITIONER’S RULE 37 PETITION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.....	170
	CONCLUSION.....	183

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Federal Cases

<i>Herrera v. Collins</i> , 506 U.S. 390 (1993).....	13, 16
<i>House v. Bell</i> , 547 U.S. 518 (2006).....	12, 13, 16, 32, 132
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> , 384 U.S. 436 (1966).....	126
<i>Schlup v. Delo</i> , 513 U.S. 298 (1995).....	13, 16

### State Cases

<i>Columbia Pacific University v. Miller</i> , California Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, A087833 (July 7, 2000).....	106
<i>Council for Private Post Secondary and Vocational Education v. Columbia Pacific University</i> , A089826, petition for review denied 12/13/2000 .....	106
<i>Echols and Baldwin v. State</i> , 326 Ark. 917 S.W.2d 509 (1996).....	2, 17, 33-36
<i>Johnson v. State</i> , 356 Ark. 534; 157 S.W.3d 151 (2004).....	14
<i>Misskelley v. State</i> , 323 Ark. 449; 915 S.W.2d 702 (1996) .....	20, 21, 114, 120, 121

### U.S. Constitution

Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendment.....	21, 112, 119
---	--------------

**Arkansas Rules of Criminal Procedure**

Rule 37 ..... 4, 63, 64

**Arkansas Code Annotated**

§5-10-101 ..... 1

§16-112-201 ..... 2, 4, 8, 32, 130

§16-112-201 *et seq.* ..... 1-3, 12, 15, 21, 111

§16-112-201(a)..... 4, 32, 122, 132

§16-112-201(a)(1) ..... 9

§16-112-201(a)(1) and (2)..... 1, 2, 14, 26, 122

§16-112-201(a)(2) ..... 4, 10, 11

§16-112-202 ..... 2, 4-8, 15, 21

§16-112-202(5)..... 6

§16-112-202(8)(A) and (B)..... 6

§16-112-202(9).....	6
§16-112-202(10).....	6
§16-112-203(a)(1)(C).....	11
§16-112-208 .....	4, 11, 130, 132
§16-112-208(e).....	2, 4, 10, 12, 122
§16-112-208(e)(1) .....	1, 3
§16-112-208(e)(1) and (3).....	11
§16-112-208(e)(3) .....	3, 4, 11, 14, 15, 26, 32

**Texts**

Bellis <i>et al.</i> , <i>A Molecular Genetic Approach for Forensic Animal Species Identification</i> , 134 <i>Forensic Science International</i> 99 (2003).....	131
Cohen, <i>The Wrong Men: America's Epidemic of Wrongful Death Row Convictions</i> (2003) .....	128
Di Maio, Dr. Vincent, <i>Forensic Pathology</i> .....	45
Dolinak <i>et al.</i> , <i>Forensic Pathology</i> .....	47
Drizin and Leo, <i>The Problem of False Confessions in the Post-DNA World</i> , 82 <i>North Carolina Law Review</i> , 891 (2004).....	128
D'Alessio, <i>et al.</i> , Figure 1 "Reconstruction in Traumatic Avulsion of the Penile and Scrotal Skin." <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> 9(2) 1982.....	51
El-Bahnasawy <i>et al</i> "Paediatric Penile Trauma." <i>Brit J Urol.</i> 90:92-96, 2002.....	52
Gomes <i>et al.</i> , (Figures 3 and 4a) "Genital Trauma Due to Animal Bites", the <i>Journal of Urology</i> 165, 2000.....	52
Gross, Jacoby, <i>et al.</i> , <i>Exonerations in the United States, 1989 through 2003</i> , 95 <i>Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology</i> , 523 (2005) .....	128
Hackler, Tim, "Complete Fabrication: A Crucial Witness Says Her Testimony in the West Memphis 3 Case Wasn't True, But a Product of Police Pressure to Get Results in the Deaths of Three Children." [Arkansas Times, October 7, 2004] .....	109
Inbau and Reid, <i>Criminal Interrogation and Confessions</i> (1962) .....	126, 127
Leo, <i>Police Interrogation and American Justice</i> (2008) .....	128
Marneros <i>et al</i> "Self amputation of penis and tongue after use of Angel's Trumpet." <i>Eur J Psych Clin Neurosci</i> 256:458-459, 2006.....	52

McAninch, <i>et al</i> , “Major Traumatic and Septic Genital Injuries”, <i>The Journal of Trauma</i> 24(4): 1984. ....	51
Paraskevas, <i>et al</i> , “An extensive traumatic degloving of the penis. A case report and review of the literature.” <i>Int J Urology and Nephrology</i> 35:523-527, 2003.....	51
Rashid, <i>et al</i> , “Avulsion injuries of the male external genitalia: classification and reconstruction with the customized radial free forearm flap.” <i>Brit J of Plastic Surgery</i> 58, 2005 .....	51
Romain <i>et al</i> , “Post Mortem Castration by a Dog: a Case report.” <i>Med Sci Law</i> 42(3): 269-271.....	52
Scheck, Neufeld and Dwyer, <i>Actual Innocence: Five Days to Execution and Other Dispatches from the Wrongly Convicted</i> (2000).....	128
Sealander and Height, <i>Arkansas Mammals: Their Natural History, Classification, and Distribution</i> (University of Arkansas Press, 1990). ....	55
Spitz, <i>Medico-Legal Investigation of Death: Guidelines for the Application of Pathology to Crime Investigation</i> (4th ed).....	42, 47
Stephan, <i>et al</i> , Figure 3 in “Care of the Degloved Penis and Scrotum: A 25-Year Experience.” <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> 104(7) 1999. ....	51
Stunell, H. <i>et al</i> , “Genital Self-Mutilation.” <i>Int J of Urol.</i> 13:1358-60, 2006. ....	52
Tobe and Linacre, <i>A Multi-Plex Assay to Identify 18 European Mammal Species from Mixtures Using the Mitochondrial Cytochrome B Gene</i> , 29 <i>Electrophoresis</i> 340 (2008) .....	131
Trauth, <i>et al.</i> <i>The Amphibians and Reptiles of Arkansas</i> (University of Arkansas Press, 2004 .....	55, 57

Victor, *Satanic Panic: The Creation of a Contemporary Legend* (1993). ..... 107

Wilhemson, *et al*, “Avulsion Injury of the Skin of the Male Genitalia: Presentation of Two Cases.” *Md State Med J.* 27(4) 1978..... 52

Zanettini, *et al*, Figure 1 “Traumatic degloving lesion of penile and scrotal skin.” *Int Braz J Urol* 31(3); 2620263, 2005 ..... 51